NIST Activities on IPv6

Stephen Nightingale and Doug Montgomery

NIST-ITL IPv6 Project

For: ISPAB March 22nd, 2007

Draft USGIPv6 - v 1.0

- Public Review: February 1, 2007.
- Closed: March 2, 2007.
- 400+ Public Comments received
- 33 Individuals and Organizations commented.
- Comments received include Policy as well as Technical concerns.

Outline of the Talk

- (1) A Walk Through the Profile.
- (2) Differences from other Profiles (IETF, DoD, IPv6ready).
- (3) Major Comments Received.
- (4) Other Procedural Consequences.
- (5) Testing Arrangements.
- (6) Harmonization?

Walkthrough: Purpose and Scope

NIST SP 500-267

- Recommended acquisition profile from NIST for agencies seeking operational deployment of IPv6 technologies.
 - Policy Free other USG and Agency specific policies may use this as a basis for further definition.
 - Minimal Interoperability IPv6 subset for common devices and expected services.
 - Defining the low bar to provide expected functionality, achieve interoperability, insure security and protect potentially significant resource investments.
 - In some areas our low bar is higher than current industry norms.
 - Not trying to specify everything that might be in a box; instead, what we think must be in a box.
 - Expected that Agencies will add additional requirements as needed.
 - Things missing from our profile are by definition "optional" and may be added by agencies/programs as needed.
 - Focus on IPv6 Data Plane
 - Get to viable IPv6 data plane first, then go for IPv6 only devices.
 - Defines USGIPv6-v1.0 compliant Hosts and Routers.
 - Expected to put a testing program in place to verify compliance to the profile.

Walkthrough:Goals and Objectives

Support OMB/GSA policies.

- Provide a basis through which OMB and GSA can further refine either emerging acquisition and deployment polices.
 - Avoid policy confusion allow policy sources to define "USG IPv6 Capable" and FAR in terms of (our) profile.
 - Fill in the technical pieces necessary to support these policies and their time frames.
 - E.g. provide interim specification of Network Protection Devices (firewalls and IDS systems) vital to ensure the security of Federal IT systems under OMB deployment strategy.

Leverage DoD/IETF/Industry efforts.

- DISR, IETF Node requirements, IPv6Ready, NSA, ICSA profiles and testing programs carefully analyzed.
 - Considered existing content capabilities, governance and timing issues.
- USGv6V1.0 is a synthesis/intersection of these efforts mixed with USG specific requirements.
- Long term goal is to get to the point where a distinct USG profile/testing program is unnecessary.

Walkthrough: Profile Overview

Scope and Application

- Strategic planning document to guide acquisition of IPv6 technologies for large scale, operational deployments.
- Defines minimal low bar of capabilities to:
 - Insure Interoperability.
 - Enable secure operation.
 - Protect early investments.
- Basis for further refinement and definition.
 - Agency/mission specific technical requirements.
 - Acquisition/deployment policies.

USGIPv6-V1 Compliant

Provides technical basis for product testing and certification program.

Walkthrough:Profile Categorization

- Sub profiles for 3 types of device.
 - Host Profile.
 - Router Profile.
 - Network Protection Device Profile.
- 12 Functional Categories of Capabilities.
 - 6.1 Base
 - 6.2 Routing
 - 6.3 Quality of Service
 - 6.4 Transition
 - 6.5 Link Technology
 - 6.6 Addressing
 - 6.7 IPsec
 - 6.8 Application Environment
 - 6.9 Network Management
 - 6.10 Multicasting
 - 6.11 Mobility
 - 6.12 Network Protection Devices
 - Sources of Requirements.
 - Common requirements for NPDs.
 - Firewall requirements.
 - Intrusion detection and prevention system requirements.

Walkthrough: The Spreadsheet

See pages 30-40 of the profile.

Differences from other Profiles

Profile	Dev	FC	Rev	IPsec 2401	IPsec 4301	AH
IETF	2	No	No	obs	refer	agno
DISR	6	Yes	Part	M	М	Yes
V6Ready	2	No	Yes	-	-	No
USG	3	Yes	Part	М	S+	No

Major Comments Received

- Policy
- Security
- Product Classes
- Network Protection
- Routing Protocols
- Calls for Harmonization
- Applications
- Crypto Generated Addresses
- IPv4-IPv6 Translation

Comments: Policy

- From Agencies and from Industry:
 - What is the Profile's applicability, i.e. what 'Must' agencies do or not do and when?
 - Does NIST have any plans to produce a 'Classified' Profile?

Comments: Security

- Mandate AH (or not).
- Mandate RFC 4301 and deprecate 2401 (or not).

Comments: Product Classes

- Use DoD DISRs 6 product categories.
 - Do not mandate 'full' IPv6 compliance for certain devices, such as IP phones.
- Or, Current 3 categories are okay.
- Split the Router category into finer gradations.

Comments: Network Protection

- Publish this as a separate Specification.
 - It "doesn't belong" in an IPv6 profile.
- Advance it to an RFC in the IETF.
- Beef up the MUST/MAY language to RFC 2115.

Comments: Routing Protocols

- More flexibility required for Interior Gateways:
 - Do not require only OSPF.
 - Optionally allow one of OSPF, RIP, IS-IS, or others.
 - Allow BGP as an optional Interior Routing protocol.

Comments: Calls for Harmonization

Harmonize with the DoD DISR profile.

Comments: Applications

- Calls to add a DNS specification.
- Calls to add Applications.

Comments: Crypto-Generated Addresses

- Crypto Generated Addresses.
- Or, Do not require Crypto Generated addresses because there is IPR restricting their use.

Comments: v4-v6 Translation

 Calls to include IPv4-IPv6 Translation as a transition method.

Other Procedural Consequences

- OMB/GSA are in the throes of hatching a FAR clause that will depend on the NIST profile and Testing recommendations.
- Calls for *Industry interaction* may lead to a government organized 'Industry Day'.
- There is need for policy to include Revision Management beyond a June 2008 'Red Flag' day.

Testing Arrangements

Existing Analysis

- DoD JITC
- IOL/IPv6 ready
- ICSA
- Commercial Tool Industry

What We Need

- Interoperability
- Conformance
- Approved Products List

Steps

- Public Meeting.
- NIST recommendations to OMB.
- Establishment of a Testing program and APL.

Harmonization

- Initial DOD Profile WG group reaction: "there is very substantial overlap".
- IPv6Ready reaction is positive.
- But **USG** now makes it 3.
- De facto compliance Today is to DOD or IPv6Ready.
- USG compliance not likely before 2Q/3Q 2008.
- Harmonization efforts with IPv6Ready and DoD after this Rev. should lead to 2 or 1 remaining profile.
 - (Optimistically) harmonized profile applicability by 18m 2yrs after USG applicability.
- **Is there a need** for Incremental profiles involving IPv6 Applications?